

<p style="text-align: center;">Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</p>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;"> Application No. 10/596,728 </td> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;"> Applicant(s) SALOMON-BAHLS ET AL. </td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px;"> Examiner Gwendolyn D. Fournet </td> <td style="padding: 2px;"> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;"> Art Unit 3679 </td> <td style="width: 50%;"></td> </tr> </table> </td> </tr> </table>	Application No. 10/596,728	Applicant(s) SALOMON-BAHLS ET AL.	Examiner Gwendolyn D. Fournet	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;"> Art Unit 3679 </td> <td style="width: 50%;"></td> </tr> </table>	Art Unit 3679	
Application No. 10/596,728	Applicant(s) SALOMON-BAHLS ET AL.						
Examiner Gwendolyn D. Fournet	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;"> Art Unit 3679 </td> <td style="width: 50%;"></td> </tr> </table>	Art Unit 3679					
Art Unit 3679							

All Participants:

(1) Gwendolyn D. Fournet.

(2) Eric Sosenko.

Date of Interview: 22 November 2010

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal

Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed: _____

Claims discussed: _____

1, 8-10, 12-14, 17, 19

Prior art documents discussed: _____

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/GDF/

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner proposed combining claims 1, 8, 9, 14, and 19 and amending their language as disclosed in the attached examiner's amendment. In addition, claims 8, 9, 14, and 19 would be cancelled and the dependency of claims 10, 12, and 13 would be updated to depend from claim 1. In claim 12 line 2 "start from" would be replaced with "are located at", and in claim 17 line 1 "part" would be changed to "element" and in line 2 "insert part" would be replaced with "holding element". A period is to be added in paragraph 0036 line 16 after "62" and a replacement IDS for the IDS submitted on 7/28/10 is to be provided by Applicant for consideration of the listed documents.